



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/676,842	10/01/2003	Brooks R. Nolan	LCOM:006	3762
7590 07/08/2005				
O'KEEFE, EGAN & PETERMAN, L.L.P.		EXAMINER		
Suite 200		HOLZEN, STEPHEN A		
Building C				
1101 Capital of Texas Highway South		ART UNIT		
Austin, TX 78746		PAPER NUMBER		
		3644		

DATE MAILED: 07/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/676,842

Applicant(s)

NOLAN, BROOKS R.

Examiner

Stephen A. Holzen

Art Unit

3644

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 May 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 8-35 and 52-84 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 8-35 and 52-84 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C.

121:

- I. Claims 8-19,22-32,35,52,57,58,61-76,81-84, drawn to an aerial dispersion system, classified in class 239, subclass 171.
- II. Claims 20, 21, 33, 34, 53-56, 59, 60, and 77-80, drawn to a method of use, classified in class 169, subclass 53.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice.

The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand; or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be practiced and made with a different process, such as by permanently mounting and installing the dispersal system within. The apparatus can also practice a different method, that does not require the fluid to be dispersed such as a transportation mission only.

Art Unit: 3644

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Upon election of one of groups I or II above, applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species of aircraft types for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

- a. Commercial
- b. Passenger
- c. Cargo Plane
- d. Military

Upon election of one of groups I or II above, applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species of fuselage construction for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

- e. Conventional bodied
- f. Wide Bodied

Upon election of one of groups I or II above, applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species of cargo container locations for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic

Art Unit: 3644

claim is finally held to be allowable.

- g. In the baggage hold
- h. In the cargo hold
- i. In the passenger compartment

Upon election of one of groups I or II above, applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species of interior tank construction for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

- j. Completely open, contiguous interior
- k. A segregated interior

Upon election of one of groups I or II above, applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species of dispersal actuation for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

- l. Manually
- m. Automatic

Upon election of one of groups I or II above, applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species of cargo loading location for prosecution

Art Unit: 3644

on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

- n. Side Loading
- o. Convention end loading
- p. Passenger Door Loading

Upon election of one of groups I or II above, applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species of cargo container types for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

- q. Conventional Cargo Containers
- r. Pseudo Cargo Containers

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate

Art Unit: 3644

which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen A. Holzen whose telephone number is 571-272-6903. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 571-272-7045. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information

Sah

Art Unit: 3644

for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sah



**TERI PHAM LUU
SUPERVISORY
PRIMARY EXAMINER**